

"Times" Advertising Rates.

Line Schedule.

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE LINES RATES charged for advertising in the LOS ANGELES TIMES.

SMALL CLASSIFIED ADVERTISEMENTS. "Wanted," "For Sale," etc., 5 cents per line for each insertion, or \$1.00 per line per month, payable at the counter.

BY THE MONTH. In preferred or fixed positions (first or eighth page), 8 cents per Nonpareil line for each insertion. Same taking run of the paper (second, third, fourth or fifth page), 10 cents per Nonpareil line for each insertion. Higher rates for shorter periods. (One inch contains 12 Nonpareil lines; one column, 20 Nonpareil lines.)

READING NOTICES. In leaded Nonpareil, per line, each insertion, 10 cents. Professional notices, per line, 25 cents. Reading notices, in Nonpareil, each insertion, per line, 15 cents.

MIRROR ADVERTISING RATES. Transients, per square (six lines), per week, \$1.00. Regulars, per square, per month, \$1.00. Professional notices, per line, 25 cents. Reading notices, in Nonpareil, each insertion, per line, 15 cents.

Address (Telephone No. 20)

THE TIMES-MIRROR COMPANY,

Times Building,

N. E. cor. First and Fort sts., Los Angeles, Cal.

Amusements.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE.

H. C. WYATT, Lessee and Manager

Commencing—ONE WEEK—January 14th

DIRECTOR: EUROPE.

M. B.

GRAND EUROPEAN NOVELTIES

A high-class vaudeville organization, comprising the best talent in the world, and the most complete and latest in the art of the profession in Los Angeles. Also, special engagement of the great comedienne.

MONTYRE and HEATH

The highest-salaried artists in the profession. Each and every act a new and positive novelty.

MATINEES WEDNESDAY AND SATURDAY.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE.

H. C. WYATT, Lessee and Manager

ONE WEEK COMMENCING

Monday—January 21st

GRAND EUROPEAN NOVELTIES

JOSEPH R. GRIMMER & P. GEORGE DAVIS

when will be pre-ented for the first time here.

Monday and Tuesday evenings, Jan. 21 and 22, and Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Saturday evenings, Jan. 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31.

THE WORLD AGAINST HER

Each play presented by a new and powerful cast of actors and actresses, and the most complete and latest in the art of the profession in Los Angeles.

GRAND SATURDAY MATINEE.

See Seats now on sale.

LOS ANGELES THEATRE.

H. C. WYATT, Lessee and Manager

Commencing—ONE WEEK—January 13th

BEN AND IDALINE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

THE OLD ROMANCE

Wanted.

Wanted—Missions.

WANTED—SITUATION BY A COM-

petent young lady as nursemaid, or assistant in a lady going north on this coast; has a good similar position in the city known to the country; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—EMPLOYMENT BY A

young married man from London, who can do all kinds of work; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—BY A FIRST-CLASS

cook, a situation in private family or first-class boarding house; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—A GOOD, STEADY

plumber wanted in a private family; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATIONS, 3 EAST-

ern girls for household work; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATION TO DO

light household work or as waitress in hotel or boarding house; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATION AS HOUSE-

keeper in a hotel in a neighborhood; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—POSITION BY A COMPE-

tent young man as clerk or assistant in a store; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATION IN PLANG-

ing mill or any kind of wood-working machine; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—A GERMAN GIRL, GOOD

cook and waitress in a hotel; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATION FOR GEN-

eral household work or cooking by competent girl; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—POSITION AS HOUSE-

keeper by a competent lady, either in city or country; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—A SITUATION BY A

German girl to do general household work; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—RESPECTABLE GIRL 15

years old wants situation in private family to take care of children; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—LADIES WISHING DRESS-

making done in their homes; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—BY MARRIED MAN, POS-

sition as manager or clerk in hotel; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—A PERFECT GERMAN

cook wishes a situation; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SITUATION AS COOK IN

private family, references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—ANY ONE WISHING A

good female nurse address P. O. Box 106, city.

WANTED—LAW CLERK, 2 YEARS

experience, wants a situation; references, W. T. Times office.

Wanted—Help.

WANTED—ENERGETIC AND IN-

teligent Presbyterians, Methodists and In-

dependents of all denominations; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—SALESMEN EVERY-

where for door-to-door sales; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—EXPERIENCED CAN-

struction foreman; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—15 GRANITE PAVING

cutters; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—MEN AND WOMEN

agents for popular books; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—THREE ENERGETIC

men; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—STAR BUILDER, ONE

who thoroughly understands the art of building stars; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—COMPETENT GIRLS

to do light household work; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—YOUNG GIRL TO ASSIST

in general household work; references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—A GOOD COOK, REFER-

ences, references, W. T. Times office.

WANTED—PLASTERERS, SEE A. G.

HINKLEY, 120 building, Temple, 2-17

Wanted—Partners.

WANTED—ENERGETIC PARTY TO

take interest in a large partnership; references, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—SMALL BUSINESS, OR

1 side part or w. n. d. all at 231 Hill st.

Wanted—Real Estate.

TO THOSE WHO WANT TO SELL—

all who have property in the vicinity of the

San Joaquin River, or who have property in

the vicinity of the San Joaquin River, or who

have property in the vicinity of the San Joa-

quin River, or who have property in the vic-

inity of the San Joaquin River, or who have

property in the vicinity of the San Joaquin

River, or who have property in the vicinity

of the San Joaquin River, or who have prop-

erty in the vicinity of the San Joaquin River,

or who have property in the vicinity of the

San Joaquin River, or who have property in

the vicinity of the San Joaquin River, or who

have property in the vicinity of the San Joa-

quin River, or who have property in the vic-

inity of the San Joaquin River, or who have

property in the vicinity of the San Joaquin

River, or who have property in the vicinity

of the San Joaquin River, or who have prop-

erty in the vicinity of the San Joaquin River,

or who have property in the vicinity of the

San Joaquin River, or who have property in

the vicinity of the San Joaquin River, or who

For Sale.

For sale—houses.

FOR SALE—BY THE OWNER, OR

to exchange for Philadelphia property, first-

class house of 7 rooms and two lots on the hill,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—ELEGANT LOT AND

8-room house in most attractive part of city,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A FINE PROPERTY ON

the corner of Main and 1st streets, near the

city center, with one acre of city center, fruit

trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—NICE NEW COTTAGE OF

five rooms and one lot on the hill, with one

acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.; reference,

W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—EIGHT-ROOM RESI-

dence on the hill, with one acre of city center,

fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—SACRIFICING, NEW

house on the hill, with one acre of city center,

fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

\$4000—TWO-STORY, 7-ROOM

house, very nice and new, with one acre of

city center, fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T.

Times office.

FOR SALE—A NEW 2-STORY HOUSE

on the hill, with one acre of city center, fruit

trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—SMALL HOUSE ON

the hill, with one acre of city center, fruit

trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

TO SELL IN INSTALLMENTS, A

new house on the hill, with one acre of city

center, fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times

office.

\$3600—NEW, NEAT, PRETTY AND COM-

plete cottage, with one acre of city center, fruit

trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A BEAUTIFUL EIGHT-

room house, with one acre of city center, fruit

trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—OR EXCHANGE, NICE

house on the hill, with one acre of city center,

fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—10-ROOM HANDSOME

house on the hill, with one acre of city center,

fruit trees, etc.; reference, W. T. Times office.

NEW GERMAN SONGS AND INSTRU-

mental music, by W. T. Times office.

FOR ANY KIND OF LOTS OR HOUSES

you want, in the very center and on every

corner, apply to W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—ELEGANT 8 ROOMS;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—CITY PROPERTY.

FOR SALE—IF YOU WANT A BAR-

gain, apply to W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

reference, W. T. Times office.

FOR SALE—A HOUSE ON THE HILL,

with one acre of city center, fruit trees, etc.;

For Sale.

For sale—Country Property.

\$75 PER ACRE WILL BUY 430

acres of fine

LOYAL LEGION.

ITS FIRST COMMANDERY MEETING IN LOS ANGELES.

A Short Business Session Last Evening. Followed by a Royal Banquet, with Toasts and Singing. The Old Boys as Jolly as Ever.

For the first time in its history the military order, of the Loyal Legion of the United States held a regular commandery meeting in Los Angeles last night. Eleven companions from San Francisco, including all the chief officers, arrived in the city on yesterday morning's train and made their headquarters at the Nadeau. All the visitors are well known here, and were at once taken in charge by the local companions, who escorted them around town and showed them all the objects of interest.

The Meeting. At 8:30 o'clock the meeting was called to order in the upper parlors by Commander Col. Alexander G. Hawes, U. S. V.

Among those present were Col. A. G. Hawes of San Francisco, Commander; Col. W. R. Smedberg of San Francisco, Recorder; Gen. T. S. West, Los Angeles; Gen. E. Bouton, Los Angeles; Col. C. M. Kline, San Francisco; Col. W. E. Morford, Col. H. G. O'Neil, Col. M. R. Yerkes, Col. T. R. Dunkelberger, Col. G. Wiley Wells, Col. T. S. Hall, Los Angeles; Col. J. M. McNulty, Santa Barbara; Col. W. Christy, Arizona; Maj. C. A. Wickoff, San Francisco; Maj. G. H. Bonebrake, Los Angeles; Maj. B. C. Truman, San Francisco; Maj. L. T. Lee, Los Angeles; Maj. M. E. Price, Colton; Maj. J. Thomas, Nitchell; Maj. E. Jones, Los Angeles; Maj. W. H. Bonsall, Los Angeles; Maj. H. W. Pond, Topoka, Kas.; Maj. C. A. Nazro, Colorado; Maj. G. A. Camp, Minnesota; Capt. C. C. Allen, Capt. W. H. Seaman, Capt. J. F. S. Gray, Capt. Thomas Gwynne, Capt. F. E. Gray of Los Angeles; Capt. F. L. Clark, San Francisco; Capt. C. G. Giddings, Lincoln, Placer county; Capt. G. B. Cook, Merced; Capt. A. M. Thornton, Los Angeles; Capt. S. Flint, San Francisco; Capt. F. H. Sweet, San Francisco; Lieut. E. F. Johnson, W. J. Brown, L. S. Butler, E. J. Byram, L. G. de Turk, G. H. Kimball, D. W. Field of Los Angeles; Lieut. F. F. Stone, San Francisco; Lieut. Samuel N. Andrus, Pomona; Ensigns F. Jordan of Los Angeles and F. H. Bacon, San Francisco; Master T. F. Laycock, Los Angeles, and Messrs. G. S. Nickerson, San Bernardino; A. T. Bird, San Bernardino; C. S. Gilbreath, W. A. Dryden, Charles Walton, San Francisco; H. W. Yeaman, San Diego.

The following are the officers of the order: Commander, Col. A. G. Hawes, U. S. V.; Recorder, Col. W. R. Smedberg, U. S. V.; Senior Vice-Commander, Maj. W. O. Gould, U. S. V.; Junior Vice-Commander, Gen. Chauncey McKeever, U. S. A.; Treasurer, Col. W. R. Smedberg, U. S. A.; Registrar, Capt. J. W. Staples, U. S. V.; Chancellor, Lieut. E. C. Carlson, U. S. V.; Council General, E. S. Salomon, U. S. V.; Commander, G. E. Bonaparte, U. S. V.; Captain, E. S. Salomon, U. S. V.; Lieutenant, P. Clark, U. S. V.; Chief Engineer, M. F. Fletcher, U. S. N.

The following were then unanimously elected companions: Edward M. Mule, major First U. S. Infantry, brevet Lieutenant Colonel U. S. A.; Zera Luther Tanner, Lieutenant-Commander U. S. N.; Henry Gillett Colby, paymaster U. S. N.; L. M. Augustus Chamberlain, captain First U. S. Artillery; Samuel Flint, first lieutenant and regimental quartermaster Sixty-seventh Pennsylvania Volunteer Infantry; Eugene Edward Edwards, first lieutenant Company B, Sixth Iowa Volunteer Infantry; Joseph Edward McComas, first lieutenant Company G, Fifth Kansas Volunteer Cavalry; George Wing Spencer, by inheritance from Thomas Star Spencer, Lieutenant-Commander U. S. N.; Clarence Sawyer Moore, elder son of Companion Chief Engineer James W. Moore, U. S. N.

The collection of dues was then announced in order, and the ribbons of the legion were distributed gratis to all applicants.

LIQUID REFRESHMENTS.

While the dues were being collected and ribbons given out, a tray containing a bottle of Damiana Bitters and another bottle of California Sherry were brought in on a silver with some glasses by a waiter. Companion Smedberg eyed them most wistfully, and the "sherry" was immediately sampled. The proposition met with much favor, and the "sherry" was poured out. It had a most suspicious bouquet, which, however, many companions Smedberg sampled, and immediately expelled it. An analysis was made, when the "sherry" was pronounced to be natural bilge water, from the Arroyo Seco. The joker who sent up the "sherry" was not discovered, otherwise he would have been court-martialed on short order.

The Banquet. At 9:30 the companions paraded arm in arm into the larger dining-room, where a most appetizing banquet had been spread. The following is

THE MENU.
Soups.
Consomme a la Dauphine.
Green turtle a l'Anglaise.
Broiled salmon a la matre d'hotel.
Pheasant a la mode with green peas.
Plain celery. Recalled oysters. Quenelles.
Spring chicken. Turkey. Ox tongue.
Larded fillet of beef. Sautéed ham.
Mayonnaise of lobster. Chicken salad.
Potted meat.
Fruit.
Strawberry short cake. Charlotte a la russe.
Portwine. Aspic. Vanilla ice cream.
Oranges. Apples. Raisins. Mixed nuts.
Fragrant de Cracks. Coffee.

After full justice had been done to the repast and the champagne had made a couple of circuits of the table.

COMMANDER HAWES

rapped for silence and then spoke as follows: "Companions: The distinguished honor falls to my lot of being present with you at the first regular dinner of the Commandery of California ever held in Los Angeles.

"I can truthfully say for the few of us from San Francisco who have been able to leave the cares of business behind us and turn our faces toward your sunny groves, that we regard this occasion both a privilege and a pleasure.

"Though your welcome to us has the ring of true old-soldierly hospitality, we come not as visitors, but as companions to our own mess-table, to talk of old times, of old friends—perhaps of old experiences half forgotten, stored away in memory's dusty attic.

"To those of our companions who have not had frequent opportunities of visiting the meetings of the commandery, it may not be amiss to remark, in regard to the aims and purposes of the Loyal Legion, that they are remarkably simple.

"To preserve the memories of deeds and devotions during the faithful struggle in which most of us were active participants is not the least of these.

"In this particular our State Commandery has thus far been less zealous, as it appears to me, than we ought. There is scarce one here who has not in the archives of his own experience valuable material for history. Contributions, however apparently trivial, may be of interest not only to living comrades and companions, but may serve as ready lights for the record, yet to be solidified and crystallized into what we call history. Of course that record has yet to be written which shall be accepted by coming generations—that can only be done when we shall have left the scene.

"It is therefore desirable that members of the order shall not neglect the duty of writing such memoirs, reminiscences, records or stories as may appear to them either interesting, instructive or amusing. Following the example of other commanderies, these may be read at our meetings and preserved for future publication in permanent form.

"I allude to this matter, not by any means suggesting that it is the paramount object of the military order of the Loyal Legion. The ends to be attained by this society are fully set forth in its constitution. I take it, it may be epitomized by the broad statement that it seeks to keep alive the sacred fire of patriotism that ought to burn in every American heart. It has no dis-

tinctly eleemosynary purposes. It neither professes to succor the poor, nurse the sick nor bury the dead. Whatever it may incidentally do in these directions, it leaves these most worthy objects in the main to be fulfilled by other organizations. The Legion seeks to inculcate into the hearts of its members and its sons the sentiments of loyalty and devotion which should be the most precious heritage of our youth.

"We are neither willing that the principles for which we fought shall be lost, or that the deeds of our heroic soldiers be forgotten. We do not propose, if we can prevent, that the great contest, involving a nation's life and the freedom of nations of human beings, shall go down to posterity in the light of a Guelph and Ghibelline factional strife.

"It is not supposable that in the future of this Great Republic there will not arise grave emergencies, serious dangers—perhaps crises whereon may hang as by slender threads its safety and perpetuity. How valuable may then be the memories of the sacrifices we have made; how inspiring the example of our triumph!

"I shall not, however, enlarge further upon this view of the aims of the Legion. We have met tonight in lovely Los Angeles to discuss less serious themes, and have come from the North and from the South, from the valleys of the Colorado and the plains of the San Joaquin, to listen to the voices of companions in song and mirth and jollity.

"I have great pleasure, therefore, in presenting to you a toast, and to whom you will now have the goodness to listen." The speech was loudly cheered, and the speaker then introduced as toast master

MAJ. HENRY T. LEE,

who said: "Gentlemen and companions, as loyal soldiers and lovers of our country, I give you 'The President'." The toast was drunk standing.

Song, "The Artillerist's Oath," by Messrs. Hutton, Osgood, Shawhan and Wallace.

THE TOAST MASTER

then made a happy speech. He said he did not want to make any Fourth of July speech, nor turn the banquet into a service of funeral cake and meats.

He wanted to make things as pleasant as he could for the San Francisco boys. He was named for toast master because they could not get any other. All right—let's catch your toast master and in agony of heart, when I was caught, was installed. When I cried for help some one said 'Ask Smedberg.' I never had any experience in toast making before. Our distinguished friend, Sam Jones, said three things the other night that may pass in a crowd; life is worth living with religion, money and hope; we all have the first two, and that tonight we will achieve the third. The next regular toast is 'The Kindred Organization—the Loyal Legion and the Loyal Legion.' The sentiment was appropriately honored. The next toast was 'The Eagle and the Cross.' Then followed a song, 'Friend of the Brave' by Price.

Toast, 'The Visiting Companions.' Responded to by

COL. SMEDBERG

of San Francisco.

The speaker stated that 11 different commanderies out of a total number of 18 were present at the present meeting. There are with us this evening visitors from 11 commanderies: two from Pennsylvania, five from Ohio, one from Kansas, one from Colorado, one from Minnesota, and one from Massachusetts. We have only 18 in the country. A visiting companion is always welcome wherever he is. A special invitation is always extended to the visitor, and the beauty of it is that he is to pay for anything. Although out this way we think if a visitor has money enough to travel out here to the Pacific Coast, he has money enough to pay for everything he can get.

Col. Smedberg made a happy hit with a brief anecdote about the shortness of a speech made by a visiting companion at the last G. A. R. banquet. He said that he was to be a meeting at least once a year of the Loyal Legion in Los Angeles, now that the boom is coming up again a little. There would be full meetings from now on. He had hoped to bring down 50 companions with him. There were at least 50 members in Southern California, nearly one-third of the number in San Francisco. He extended a hearty welcome to all southern companions to visit San Francisco and at the next meeting would hope to see a lot of them. The proposition to cut California in two does not apply to the Loyal Legion. That is to be kept whole. The Legion is to grow, and from the fourth rank in strength must grow to the front and take the lead of all the others. The speaker urged the southern companions to get all new-comers from the East to join the Legion.

Song, 'Lullaby,' by the quartette.

Toast, 'The Army and Navy,' responded to by

COL. G. WILEY WELLS,

as follows:

"As I was taken unawares I am scarcely able to respond to this toast. The army has made peace possible and this grand and great country what it is. As long as we live and see these companions, who are humble representatives of that great army, I think that we are gradually going to the grave and then the navy will take the work. But why talk of our success when we recollect that we were succeeded by such men as Logan, as Sherman, as Grant. Posterity that is to come will honor the name and fame of the army. When this army had fought its battles it went back to citizenship. It is the great blessing that protects the people and the country. The army and navy are made of the work. The navy is now about to be called into requisition to say to the country of the earth, 'Beware of how you love your American flag.' The army and navy have the flag; a body of heroic men of noble character, the representatives of which are at this time collected at this board. Wherever you may go, you will find that the army is made of men. The great volunteer army of the United States produced more true gentlemen in four years than West Point in all its existence. I now say, gentlemen, drink to the 'Army and the Navy.'"

"Marching Through Georgia" was then sung with immense effect and great enthusiasm by all the guests.

Companion G. L. Nickerson of San Bernardino sang "Among the Lilies."

Companion Allan T. Bird of San Bernardino responded to the toast, 'American Patriotism.' He said: "Speaking as a companion, and not only in my own right, but by inheritance, I say that if my sire were this side of the mysterious curtain he would be at this board tonight. I am glad to say that while the sword at my home was not wielded by me, I followed where it led. In enumerating the heroes whom the Loyal Legion seeks to commemorate, I would say that I think all their sacrifices and risks resulted in great benefits to the people, and they were cheaply bought."

"You are about to save the Union and you are about to save the world. In the hour of peril, in case that hour should ever come again, your example will be emulated by your children." Mr. Bird related an appropriate anecdote, frequently told by Corporal Tanner, illustrating patriotism from the day the Declaration of Independence was issued.

The quartette next sang "The Soldiers' Farewell." The last regular toast of the evening was "Oh, the Roll of Death," to which

COMPANION JORDON

of Los Angeles responded. He said: "I do not think that any thoughts that arise from the human heart can respond to this toast. The thousand of gallant souls that sleep beneath the sod of the South or in the waters of the deep who died in that great struggle, are with us tonight in spirit. We can never pay too much respect to them. Peace to their ashes! May their memory be ever green, and may our thoughts of them be ever broad and wide."

The companions then joined in singing "Ole Massa's Run Away."

Maj. Lee, the regular toastmaster then retired in favor of Col. Smedberg, the Recorder of the commandery, and there followed a sort of go-as-you-please, which was hilarious and entertaining.

Some very effective speeches were made, by Maj. Pond of Kansas, and others by Maj. Camp of Minnesota, Capt. Gunn of Minnesota, and Gen. Theo. S. West.

At a late, or rather early hour this morning, the companions dispersed.

The results of the evening were companions F. F. Stone, George S. Nickerson, M. E. Price, J. A. Osgood, and Messrs. C. S. Walton, J. M. Shawhan and F. W. Wallace.

BROTHER SAMUEL.

HE TURNS DOOSE IN HIS OWN VERNACLAIR.

And Denounces the Devil in the Choicest Barroom Slang—"When I Shoot Off My Mouth I Mean Every Word I Say."

Last evening Sam Jones entertained an audience of about 5000 people, at the Pavilion, with a discourse of about an hour.

Without any suggestions as to the character of his sermon, the following verbatim quotations from his remarks will show for themselves the language employed by the gentleman in his endeavors to expound the gospel as taught and preached by his Savior.

"Saturday night there will be a service held here. Now, Saturday night, I have been told, is not considered a favorable night, and have been frequently asked why I hold services on Saturday night. I said in reply to this that if you will agree to get the devil to close up that night I will, too; but that is his big night. If you prefer to stay at home, you can do so, anyway, the meeting will go on, whether you are here or not."

Mr. Jones' text was taken from the last chapter of Zechariah, twelfth verse, "Turn you to the stronghold, ye priests of hope, every day do I declare I will render double unto thee."

"The all-absorbing theme with God and angels and good men is the salvation of living men, not the salvation of men who lived a hundred years ago. They have enjoyed their privileges and have had their opportunities, and their destiny is fixed with them."

"A great many people have a false view of Christ; they think if they give up the world they get nothing in return. This idea prevails among a great many people today, but God says today, 'I will render double unto thee.' Nothing in this universe offers as much religion as Jesus Christ, and nothing does any good on your part unless you give up those things that will dishonor you as a husband, as a father, as a citizen, or as a family man. It is to give up what will make your wife or mother think less of you, and he gives you in return those things which will fit you for a better inheritance in the sweet by-and-by."

"This makes me think of the case of a man who lived in Nashville, Tenn. He said: 'I want you to call at my home.' Mr. Jones went and found him in upstairs room with his wife and children, and he said: 'Mr. Jones, I am a convicted sinner, and I want to be a good man. I have gambled all my life, and am a gambler by trade, and I have lost my money, and my children eat has been won at the gambling table. Now, if I give my heart to God my family will suffer for food. What shall I do to see a better life?' He said: 'I will do it.' That man joined the church and is today Mayor of the city of Nashville and one of the leading citizens of his city. Since we have had that man, I think that the man that God ever took into his service and then starved him and let him go to the dogs. The devil will do that, and I expect a good many of you are in that fix now."

Mr. Jones went on to describe the reformation of a young man who clerked in a store where liquor was sold, and said that the effect of his example was so powerful as to induce the proprietor to remove the liquor from his store and insist on the young man resuming work in his employ at twice the amount of his former salary.

"I have no doubt but some of you men are sitting back there and saying 'Jones, I don't believe you.' Well, I can give you another one a hundred times bigger than that, and I know it is so. A mighty good man told me that he wanted me to tell him a lie."

"If you have an idea in your heads that religion simply bankrupts a fellow and unites him for society you are mistaken. There are three things that will give you hope and you can locate yourselves along the line tonight."

"Whatever will help me home to God, put me down for that. Before I joined the church I heard it said that if a man prayed he would get into a better place, and I said that I would adopt that plank in my religious platform. I heard a man say that Thursday night when he was in jail, and he said that he would go to jail and stay there, and I said that I would adopt that plank in my religious platform. I heard a man say that he would go to jail and stay there, and I said that I would adopt that plank in my religious platform."

"If that good thing is in my reach, I am going to have it; that's business! I heard another fellow say he went into ballrooms, and got left by doing it, and I didn't want to go to jail and stay there, and I said that I would adopt that plank in my religious platform. We take our religion and run it into everything that will damn it. A man takes his religious character down into a ballroom and walks it around there, and I don't want to see a fellow like that. Go on with it there; to that man, I say, be sensible, old fellow, and stop it."

"I am willing to turn loose anything that I want to, if it takes every man a million in religion. I want to get to heaven, and I am not very much disturbed about what I do. It is a good old woman when she got religion, shouting, 'Oh! Savior, if you will just save me in heaven, you never shall hear the last of it.' I don't get to heaven, and God shall say to me, 'What chance there is for reformation to eternal darkness, I will turn around and walk off, but I will walk off from the gates of heaven the worst of the men. Do you hear? If I get to heaven I am going to tell the angels I never started for somewhere else and got there, but expected to get there all the time. I like to see a fellow make his calculations and then work to them."

"I don't believe God ever allowed a soul to be taken by the devil until it had walked through hell. When Gen. Garfield was shot down by that miserable assassin he was approached by the surgeons, who, when probing the wound, he said: 'Doctors, is there a chance for my life?' They said: 'Yes, there is a chance. Then he said: 'By the help of God I will take that chance,' and he grappled with it as no man ever did before."

"What chance there is for reformation for a living man there is none for the dead; probation ends at death."

"You say you don't believe in a hell. Well, that don't make any difference, your opinion isn't worth anything. The biggest fool that ever was is the man who spends his existence here trying to believe there is no hell and then spends his last few moments in finding there is one. I don't care to know where it is; you can go down there and see for yourself, but I don't want any of it."

"On have lots of men and women in this town who never put their foot into a church, and I never seen vice so advertised or women so boldly soiled trade in any spot on earth as in this city. My God! how would I hate to raise a daughter in town like this. Every day I spend in California convinces me that California needs some honest moral legislation, and I will be in attendance in about two weeks, and will meet these gentlemen up there if they have not got to the position where they won't come to church. I like to set up with them, and give them a piece of my mind. I never go off half-cocked in anything I do. Whenever you hear me make a statement I mean every word I say, old fellow, and when I shoot off my mouth I mean all I say."

It may sound like a lie to some of you,

but I guess you would not know what the truth was if you heard it; that's so, old fellow."

Mr. Jones concluded his remarks by citing numerous reminiscences which had come under his personal knowledge, where men had refused to accept the religion he had offered them, and in every case had shortly met with violent deaths. At the conclusion of his discourse, he announced that he had a daughter who had not much sense he would teach her dancing. If he could not teach one end, he would teach the other. In other words, if he could not teach her religion and morality, he would teach her devilry. This is the kind of stuff that sensible people flock to the Pavilion to hear under the guise of religion. CITIZEN.

THE 'BONE' FAMILY.

They Have Got by the Ears About a Horse.

Yesterday afternoon C. M. Snell, manager of the Grand Central Hotel, and one of the stockholders of the Tribune, was arrested on complaint of a negro named Ramsey. It seems that Snell bought a third interest in the Tribune circulation and \$750 worth of stock in that concern some months ago, and when he found that it was a losing game he gave up the routes, or rather abandoned them to his partner, Isadore, who is still trying to run them. Snell and Isadore owned a bay horse, and when Snell quit the game he was out on a ranch for his health, and when Snell found that he could not get a settlement with his partner, Isadore, he made up his mind to sell the horse. He consulted his attorney and gave notice of the sale and informed that Snell was ready and anxious for a settlement.

To this Isadore paid no attention, but yesterday afternoon the negro Ramsey, on whose place the horse was left, swore to a complaint in which it is charged that Snell and his brother-in-law, George F. Dalley, obtained the horse by fraudulent representations. Mr. Dalley simply rode out with Snell. They were both admitted to jail in the sum of \$200 each. This animal may turn out to be the old Trojan "horse" in a new role.

The C. R. & Q.

Capt. Quigley spent the great part of yesterday escorting the Arizona Legislature about the city, and the lawmakers of that land of tortillas cast a unanimous vote granting the C. R. & Q. a right of way through the Territory.

The Electric Road Started.

The electric railway has again started running the cars from First street and Fifth Heights. Service will be maintained half hourly, as previously. The wires have been stretched and the machinery and apparatus overhauled. The manager gives assurances of a reliable service.

ECZEMA CAN BE CURED.

The most agonizing, humiliating, itching, scaly, and burning eczemas are cured by the CUTICURA Remedies, when physicians and all other remedies fail.

I have been afflicted since last March with a skin disease the doctors called Eczema. My face was covered with scabs and sores, and the itching and burning were almost unbearable. Seeing your CUTICURA REMEDIES so highly recommended, concluded to give them a trial, using the CUTICURA and CUTICURA SOAP externally, and RESOLVER internally. In four months I call myself cured, and for which I make this public statement. Mrs. CLARA A. FREDERICK, Broad Brook, Conn.

ECZEMA Three Years Cured.

CUTICURA REMEDIES are the greatest medicine on earth. Had the worst case of Salt Rheum in this country. My mother had it twenty years, and in fact died of it. My arms, breast and head were covered for three years with scabs and sores, and I could not get rid of them. I used CUTICURA until I used the CUTICURA RESOLVER. J. W. ADAMS, Newark, O.

ECZEMA on Baby Cured.

My baby has been troubled with eczema on his face, neck, head, ears and entire body. He was one mass of scabs, and we were obliged to tie his hands to prevent his scratching. I have spent dollars on remedies without effect, but I used one box CUTICURA and one cake CUTICURA SOAP the child is entirely cured. I cannot thank you enough. W. R. GILWINE, 12 Mul St., Brooklyn, E. D. N. Y.

ECZEMA on Hands Cured.

Two years and a half ago Salt Rheum broke out on my right hand. It appeared in white blisters, attended by terrible itching, and gradually spread until it covered the entire back of the hand. The disease next appeared on my left hand, and I tried many remedies, but could find no cure until I obtained the CUTICURA REMEDIES, which effected a speedy and permanent cure. JAMES P. KEARNEY, 84 Wood Avenue, Detroit.

BABY'S Skin and scalp preserved and beautified by CUTICURA MEDICATED SOAP.

A Word About Catarrh.

"It is the mucous membrane, that wonderful semi-solid envelope surrounding the delicate tissues of the air and food passages, that Catarrh makes its stronghold. Once established, it eats into the very vitals and renders life but a long-drawn breath of misery and disease, dulling the sense of feeling, trammeling the power of speech, destroying the faculty of smell, tainting the breath and killing the red-d pleasures of taste. Insidiously by creeping on from a simple cold in the head, it assaults the membrane of the nose and the bones, eating through the delicate coats and causing inflammation, leading to a fatal termination. SANFORD'S RADICAL CURE, by its action and all its levatives are simply preconcerted to destroy the disease, and make a permanent cure. SANFORD'S RADICAL CURE, by its action and all its levatives are simply preconcerted to destroy the disease, and make a permanent cure. SANFORD'S RADICAL CURE, by its action and all its levatives are simply preconcerted to destroy the disease, and make a permanent cure."

SANFORD'S RADICAL CURE consists of one bottle of the RADICAL CURE, one box of CATARRH SOLVER, and IMPROVED INSTANTLY wrapped in one package, with full directions; price, \$1.00. FORTER DRUG & CHEMICAL CO., BOSTON.

KIDNEY PAINS, Strains and Weaknesses.

Relieved in one minute by that marvelous Antidote to Pain, inflammation and Weakness, the CUTICURA Anti-Pain Plaster. The first and only pain-killing strengthening plaster. Especially adapted to instantly relieve and speedily cure Kidney and Urinary Pains and Weakness. Warranted really superior to all other plasters. At all druggists, 25 cents; five for \$1; or postage free, of FORTER DRUG & CHEMICAL CO., Boston, Mass.

Unclassified.
REOPENING
OF
STEVENSON HOUSE RESTAURANT,
CORNER OF FIRST AND LOS ANGELES STREETS.
Just Reopened. Everything New. Strictly First-class.
MEALS TWENTY-FIVE CENTS.
MRS. E. TANNER, Proprietress.

Real Estate.
SAY, STRANGER!
Do You Want a Home?
NO CASH PAYMENTS DOWN REQUIRED.

ANY INDUSTRIOUS MAN WHO WANTS TO MAKE HIMSELF A HOME where he can enjoy good health, drink pure mountain water, breathe pure air, shake off all a throat and lung troubles, asthma, etc. where he can raise the highest priced oranges, apricots, olives, strawberries, blackberries and raspberries raised in California, no fruit pests, frosts, fog or moisture, the terminus of the Santa Fe Railroad on the land, four trains daily and only 20 minutes ride to the city of San Bernardino. Had better call on W. P. McINTOSH, general agent for the sale of MEXICAN lands. He offers to sell land in tracts to suit and give five years to pay for the same at 8 per cent interest. No cash payment required for one year from settlers. Non residents desirous of purchasing and improving will find an experienced man on the road and cultivate at a reasonable price until owners are prepared to occupy or sell. Settlers can have the use of adjacent lands free of charge on which to raise grain, potatoes or other vegetables until trees are in bearing or grain lands sold.
Los Angeles city property sold, exchanged and rented. MONEY LOANS
Maps, pamphlets and further particulars on application.

W. P. McINTOSH.
No. 13 South Main Street, Los Angeles, Cal.
Pacific Furniture Company.

GREAT CLOSING-OUT SALE!
Positively Going Out of Business.

Selling Out Everything!
GOODS POSITIVELY SOLD AT EASTERN MANUFACTURERS' PRICES.

THE GREATEST SACRIFICE SALE
—IN—
FURNITURE!
Ever Presented to You.

The stockholders of this company have decided that everything must be sold before the 1st of February, 1889. No article will be spared.

Grandest money-saving opportunity of the times. Goods delivered free.

PACIFIC FURNITURE CO.,
226, 228 & 230 South Main Street.

Low Gas and Electric Company.

LIGHT, HEAT, POWER.
GAS : FOR : FUEL.

This company is the only successful furnisher of gas for fuel in this city, giving more heat for less money than has ever been supplied here. It is a fact well established by actual tests here that without our appliances and methods consumers cannot receive satisfaction in the use of gas for either culinary or heating purposes.

Our light is also the best in the world and worth double that of any other gaslight, while our family, hotel and restaurant cookers have no equal, of which a visit to our showrooms will convince you.

Ask for pamphlet, which will give you much valuable information.

LOWE GAS & ELECTRIC CO., 204 S. Main St., Los Angeles.

Stoves and House Furnishing Goods.

E. E. CRANDALL & CO.,
133 & 135 W. FIRST STREET,
—HAVE EXCLUSIVE SALE OF—
SUPERIOR RANGES,
The Only Satisfactory Ranges Sold in the City.

Mantels and Grates at Our Factory Prices!
—THE LARGEST AND—
CHEAPEST LINE OF HEATING STOVES!
To be found in Southern California. The best assortment of

FURNISHING GOODS IN ALL LINES.
Jewelry.

W. M. RAGLAND,
NEW JEWELRY Store,
120 NORTH MAIN ST.,
Opp. Temple St. : : : Lafranco Block.

A full and complete stock of everything usually found in a first-class jewelry store. Fine watch repairing a specialty.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

DEWEY'S ART PARLORS,
37, 39 and 41 South Main St., . . . Los Angeles.

LIEVA.

HIS TRIAL FOR MURDER CONCLUDED YESTERDAY.

The Defendant Tells How Utterly Inoffensive He Was and How He Was Pounced Upon and Abused—Verdict of Murder in the Second Degree.

The trial of David Lieva for the murder of Pedro Lopez was resumed before Judge Cheney yesterday.

Manuel Verdugo was recalled by the defense and questioned about his testimony given at the coroner's inquest. Nothing material was elicited.

The next witness was

LOUIS LIEVA.

no connection of the defendant. He testified about as the other witnesses as to the leading facts which took place at the saloon.

He testified that Lopez fired a pistol at defendant, who fired at deceased, who replied with the last shot. On cross-examination his evidence was not materially changed.

DAVID LIEVA.

the defendant, was then sworn and placed on the stand. He speaks good English, and said: "On October 14th last, I met Lieva and I drove out in a buggy to Verdugo. Took a couple of drinks in Hermann's saloon there. Lopez, Raquena Verdugo and Olvez were in the saloon. Offered to make a chicken with them. Talked about it a little while and then Lieva called me a name. I told him to take it back. Lopez then called me names and struck me. They all struck me and hustled me out of the saloon. Verdugo followed me and shot at me with a revolver. I took my shotgun from the buggy. They went into the saloon. Verdugo then pointed his pistol at me from the saloon. I loaded my gun to defend myself, as I thought they would shoot me. The saloon door was then open. I got into my wagon and drove off. Did not take my gun out of the wagon until Verdugo shot at me first. Louis Lieva drove the wagon away across the street. He advised me to leave, for fear I might be killed. The crowd shouted. Shot him. About 500 yards from the saloon the buggy turned back. I met Frank Snee there. He asked where I was going, and said my face was bleeding. I said, 'I am going home.' Snee advised me to turn back, as the road was clear. I asked him to come back to see all was right. About 25 yards from Lopez's yard

I SAW LOPEZ JUMP UP WITH A PISTOL

in his hand, and fired at me, saying, 'You fell he shot the third time. When I shot I dropped the lines. Fired only one shot. There was only one charge in the gun. I loaded my gun as I went away. Seeing a crowd coming said I was going to defend myself. Loaded the gun just before passing the saloon. My horse was then on the dead run. I drove up to Myers's place, and near Tropic I turned my gun off. Went to the Southern Pacific office, left my gun there and went to Mr. del Valle's place. Met Henry Osborn, the interpreter. Told him what I had done, and that

IT WAS IN SELF-DEFENSE.

Came into suit with Mr. Osborn and went to the County Jail. When I met Lieva there I turned around I did not ask him for a pistol or a shotgun. When I loaded my gun, just after Verdugo and the others struck me, I saw Lopez, Olvez and Verdugo come out of the saloon. I may have sworn when I was across the street. Don't know exactly for I was very excited after the fight with the three men. Won't swear I did or did not.

"Lopez came out from between his house and barn. He was out in the road with a pistol in his hand. Had no animosity against Pedro Lopez. Have been friendly with him for some time. I was angry with Lopez until he shot at me. Lopez was under the influence of liquor in the saloon. In the saloon Lopez didn't hurt me."

On cross-examination, "Have stated all that I can remember of the case. Did not snap my gun at Olvez, Verdugo or Lopez. Snapped it at no one. Loaded only one barrel of the gun. Did so to protect myself. Did not load it for any purpose of shooting any one. Don't remember if I said I would shoot any one before I left. I was

TOO ANGRY TO REMEMBER

if I did or did not swear to kill any one. Don't think I went on my knees and swore I'd kill Lopez. Can't swear I did or not. Same about Verdugo and Olvez. I was very excited then. Suppose I was also angry. I don't think I was angry when I passed Lopez at his house. Wasn't working for Lopez. First saw Lopez as we turned back in the wagon. He was out in the road. Turned back in the buggy to go home, as my face was all cut up. Snee also said all the men at the saloon were peaceable and would not interfere with me. Lopez shot at me before I took hold of my gun. The gun was alongside of me. I took it up and rested the barrels on my left hand. My horse was all around and faced him. My horse was trotting when Lopez shot. Lopez, as he fell near the wagon-track, fired again. Don't think he moved after I shot him. My horse then ran away. Saw a crowd in front of the saloon, who tried to stop my horse as I passed.

LOADED THE GUN TO PROTECT MYSELF

from the crowd. Did not ask Snee for his gun or pistol. Don't know where Snee was when I shot. Did not turn around for the express purpose of shooting Lopez. Did not hear the whizz of the bullet from Lopez's pistol past my head. Shot at Lopez because he shot at me, and I defended my life. I surrendered myself to Mr. Osborn, who took me to the County Jail."

The defense then rested their case.

Raquena Verdugo was called in rebuttal by the prosecution. He was asked: "What did you do with your pistol after you shot at Lieva?" The defense objected. The Court denied the objection and allowed the question. Answer: "I put the pistol in my pocket." A motion to strike out was denied.

The prosecution then rested.

The defense offered to entrust the case to the jury without argument. To this the prosecution objected.

ARGUMENT.

The prosecution then opened. "The only question is, was the killing of deceased, Pedro Lopez, in self-defense or not? The first point of divergence in the case is as to whether the defendant attempted to shoot Lopez, Verdugo and Olvez in front of the saloon. Next, whether he did or did not swear to kill one of the three men before night. In view of such an oath, and if Lopez, the deceased, was on trial for killing the defendant, after such circumstances, no conviction could be had."

The defense alluded in strong terms to the conduct of the witnesses for the prosecution, who contradicted favorably with those introduced by the defense.

The theory of the prosecution was that both barrels were fired at once by the defendant, and that the wound proved it. The evidence clearly proved by preponderance that Lopez, the deceased, had no pistol, and did not fire one. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

In reply, demanded to know why the prosecution had not kept to their theory made in their opening statement, that the defendant only fired one shot, instead of introducing the double-shot theory. Where is the pistol? It has been disposed of no doubt. Even the prosecution's witness testified that more than one shot was fired. That they heard three, thus proving the defense's theory, and evidence of the defendant that the deceased fired twice and the defendant once. Either the four witnesses for the prosecution had committed perjury when they swore there was no pistol, or else Rizvi, who swore he saw one, committed perjury. There was no doubt the defendant intended to kill Lopez, Verdugo or Olvez, and deliberately at that.

THE DEFENSE.

HEALTH MATTERS.

MORE HIGH JINKS ABOUT THE HEALTH OFFICER.

Dr. Bryant and His Honor Mayor Bryson Indulge in Warm Talk—A Story to the Effect That Dr. Reese is a Resident of the City of Bayonne.

The Board of Health met in adjourned session at the Mayor's residence yesterday afternoon, with His Honor presiding and Messrs. Bryant, Humphreys and Shafer in attendance, and as soon as the board was called to order William Niles addressed the members in opposition to the extension of the two-cow limit, saying that if the limits were extended it would work a great hardship on him, and suggesting that the proper way to regulate the matter was to have the Health Officer see that all cowboys are kept clean, and that all nuisances are promptly abated.

The report of the committee, to whom was referred the matter at the last meeting, was laid before the board, when Mr. Niles made the limits in which not more than two cows shall be kept shall be bounded on the west by Alvarado, Bellevue to Beauty, and thence to Virgin, and along Virgin east to Alameda, south to Alameda, east to Santa Fe avenue, south to Second, west to San Pedro, south to Ninth, west to Maple, south to Washington and west to Alameda. After some discussion the report was unanimously adopted as read.

The matter of the Health Officer was again brought up, and Dr. Bryant nominated Dr. Thompson and Mayor Bryson nominated Dr. Reese.

Owing to Mr. Kuhrt's absence, Mr. Humphreys suggested that the matter again go over for one week, when Mr. Shafer objected, saying that he would move in the Council, at the next meeting, to declare the office vacant unless something was done.

Mr. Humphreys suggested that this would cut no figure, and a vote was taken, which resulted in Mayor Bryson and Mr. Humphreys voting for Reese, and Messrs. Bryant and Shafer for Thompson. The matter was referred to the board of the Council, at the next meeting, to declare the office vacant unless something was done.

Mr. Shafer moved that the matter be postponed for one week, which resulted in another vote, and the matter of the two-cow limit, which had been referred to the board of the Council, was taken up, and Dr. Bryant said that he had been unable to discover any nuisance at that place, when the matter was referred to the Health Officer.

The question of employing additional garbage wagons for Boye Heights and Angelito Heights was taken up, and after discussion, was referred to the Health Officer and Street Superintendent to see if the same are required as a sanitary measure.

A petition was received from the proprietor of the Cape Horn saloon, asking to be allowed to reopen his water trough in front of his place, which had been discontinued during the prevalence of the glanders. After debate, the petition was granted. It being stated that it would not obstruct the streets.

Mr. Shafer moved that Dr. Reese be requested to appear before the board and answer the questions as to his citizenship, which motion was seconded by Dr. Bryant, and an officer was sent for Dr. Reese, pending which the members of the board put in the time reading the papers and talking politics.

Dr. Reese appeared in 10 or 15 minutes, and stated that he was a practicing physician in Los Angeles, and had been for five or six years. He owned some \$10,000 or \$12,000 worth of property in this city, but was not a registered voter. The question as to whether he was legally entitled to be a citizen could easily be settled by the City Attorney.

Quite an animated discussion ensued between Dr. Reese, Mr. Bryant and the other members, in which the debate got to be quite personal, and there were several lively brushes between the parties. Both Mr. Shafer and Dr. Bryant insisted that Dr. Reese was not a resident, when the latter contended that the whole effort directed against him was for the purpose of trying to injure him in regard to a homestead which he had entered in San Diego county time ago. Both Bryant and Shafer disclaimed any such intention, when Dr. Reese insisted that he was a resident physician as was required by the ordinance, and that the matter could be easily settled by referring it to the City Attorney. Dr. Bryant retorted by saying that there were other people who knew something besides the City Attorney, when Dr. Reese asked him if he did not already have the City Attorney's opinion. Mr. Bryant got very red in the face, and after some hesitation, admitted that he was not a resident of the city, but a good citizen, and reminded Dr. Bryant that he was not a resident of the city, but a good citizen, and reminded Dr. Bryant that he was not a resident of the city, but a good citizen, and reminded Dr. Bryant that he was not a resident of the city, but a good citizen,

Storage, Commission and Insurance.

